

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA,  
NORTHERN DIVISION**

**DANIEL BRYAN KELLY,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**RICKY OWENS, ET AL**

**Defendants.**

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

**CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:05CV1150-M**

**DEFENDANT, WENDY ROBERSON'S ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT**

**COMES NOW**, Wendy Roberson, in her individual capacity, and Answers the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint filed on May 22, 2006, as follows.

**JURISDICTION**

1. Admitted that the Plaintiff is over the age of nineteen. Defendant Roberson is without sufficient knowledge as to the remaining averment of this paragraph, therefore it is denied.

2. Defendant Roberson admits that she is over the age of nineteen and is employed as a Jail Administrator at the Coosa County Jail.

3. Defendant Roberson neither admits nor denies the allegations contained in paragraph 3, for the reason paragraph 3 of the Plaintiff's Complaint states conclusions of law which should be determined by this Honorable Court and requires no answer of this Defendant.

**ALLEGATIONS OF FACTS**

4. Upon information or belief, it is admitted that the Plaintiff was placed in Coosa County Jail on November 13, 2003. Defendant Roberson further admits that she was employed at the Coosa County Jail on said date. All other allegations contained in paragraph 4 are denied.

5. Denied.

6. Defendant Roberson admits that the Plaintiff was evaluated and treated by a physician on numerous occasions during the course of his incarceration at the Coosa County Jail. Defendant Roberson is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averment contained in paragraph 6 of the Complaint, therefore they are denied.

7. Defendant Roberson admits that the Plaintiff was taken to Russell Hospital for treatment on at least one occasion during the course of his incarceration at the Coosa County Jail. Defendant Roberson is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments contained in paragraph 7 of the Complaint, therefore they are denied.

8. Denied.

9. Defendant Roberson admits that during the Plaintiff's incarceration at the Coosa County Jail he was placed in a holding cell for his own protection. All other averments contained in paragraph 9 of the Plaintiff's Complaint are denied.

10. Denied.

11. Denied.

12. Denied.

13. Denied.

14. Denied.

15. Denied.

16. Denied.

17. Denied.

18. Defendant Roberson is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraph 18 of the Plaintiff's Complaint, therefore they are denied.

19. Denied.

20. Denied.

21. Denied.

22. Denied.

23. Denied.

24. Denied.

25. Defendant Roberson is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraph 25 of the Plaintiff's Complaint, therefore they are denied.

26. Denied.

27. Denied.

28. Denied.

29. Denied.

30. Denied.

31. Denied.

32. Denied.

33. No response is required of this Defendant.

34. No response is required of this Defendant.

35. No response is required of this Defendant.

36. No response is required of this Defendant.

37. No response is required of this Defendant.

38. Denied.

39. No response is required of this Defendant.

40. Denied.

41. Defendant Roberson neither admits nor denies the allegations contained in paragraph 41, for the reason that paragraph 41 of the Plaintiff's Complaint states conclusions of law which should be determined by this Honorable Court and requires no answer of this Defendant.

**COUNT I**

42. Defendant Roberson incorporates, as if fully set out herein, her answers to paragraphs 1-41 of the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

43. Denied.

44. Denied.

45. Denied.

**COUNT II**

46. Defendant Roberson incorporates, as if fully set out herein, her answers to paragraphs 1-45 of the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

47. Denied.

48. No response is required by this Defendant.

49. Denied.

50. Denied.

**COUNT III**

51. Defendant Roberson incorporates, as if fully set out herein, her answers to paragraphs 1-50 of the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

52. Defendant Roberson neither admits nor denies the allegations contained in paragraph 52, for the reason that paragraph 52 of the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint states conclusions of law

which should be determined by this Honorable Court and requires no answer of this Defendant.

53. Denied.

54. Defendant Roberson neither admits nor denies the allegations contained in paragraph 54, for the reason that paragraph 54 of the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint states conclusions of law which should be determined by this Honorable Court and requires no answer of this Defendant.

55. Denied.

#### **COUNT IV**

56. Defendant Roberson incorporates, as if fully set out herein, his answers to paragraphs 1-55 of the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

57. No response is required of this Defendant.

58. Defendant Roberson neither admits nor denies the allegations contained in paragraph 58, for the reason that paragraph 58 of the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint states conclusions of law which should be determined by this Honorable Court and requires no answer of this Defendant.

59. Denied.

#### **COUNT V**

60. Defendant Roberson incorporates, as if fully set out herein, her answers to paragraphs 1-59 of the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

61. Denied.

62. Defendant Roberson neither admits nor denies the allegations contained in paragraph 62, for the reason that paragraph 62 of the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint states conclusions of law which should be determined by this Honorable Court and requires no answer of this Defendant.

63. Denied.

**COUNT VI**

64. Defendant Roberson incorporates, as if fully set out herein, her answers to paragraphs 1-63 of the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

65. No response is required of this Defendant.

66. No response is required of this Defendant.

67. No response is required of this Defendant.

**COUNT VII**

68. Defendant Roberson incorporates, as if fully set out herein, her answers to paragraphs 1-67 of the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

69. No response is required of this Defendant.

70. No response is required of this Defendant.

**COUNT VIII**

71. Defendant Roberson incorporates, as if fully set out herein, her answers to paragraphs 1-70 of the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

72. No response is required of this Defendant.

73. No response is required of this Defendant.

**COUNT IX**

74. Defendant Roberson incorporates, as if fully set out herein, her answers to paragraphs 1-73 of the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

75. No response is required of this Defendant.

76. No response is required of this Defendant.

**AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

1. The Plaintiff's Amended Complaint fails to set a claim upon which relief may be granted.
2. Defendant Roberson is entitled to and pleads qualified immunity.
3. Defendant Roberson was not deliberately indifferent in any respect.
4. The Plaintiff's Complaint fails to meet the 11<sup>th</sup> Circuit pleading requirement.
5. The Plaintiff can not prove violations of his rights under the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
6. Defendant Roberson denies that any act or admission attributable to her caused the Plaintiff to be deprived of his Federally protected rights.
7. The Eleventh Amendment to the United States Constitution bars this court from exercising its jurisdiction over any state law claims made against this Defendant.
8. Defendant Roberson reserves the right to add additional defenses upon a complete investigation of the Plaintiff's claims, completion of any discovery allowed, and if any other further Pleading is required or allowed by the court.

Respectfully submitted this the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of June, 2006.

/s/ Kristi A. Dowdy  
KRISTI A. DOWDY  
ALA. BAR NO.: ASB-4760-W86K  
ATTORNEY FOR AL BRADLEY, TERRY  
WILSON & WENDY ROBERSON

**OF COUNSEL:**

McDONALD & DOWDY  
1005 Montgomery Highway  
Birmingham, Alabama 35216  
(205) 824-0507 - telephone  
(205) 824-0509 - facsimile

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of June, 2006, I did electronically file the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of said filing to the following CM/ECF participants:

Gary L. Willford, Jr., Esq.  
Webb & Eley, P.C.  
7475 Haleyon Pointe Road  
Post Office Box 240909  
Montgomery, Alabama 36124  
*Attorney for Defendants Coosa County,  
Owens, Coosa County Commission*

Richard J. Stockham, III, Esq.  
Stockham, Carroll & Smith, P.C.  
2400 Lakeshore Drive, Suite 114  
Birmingham, Alabama 35209  
*Attorney for Plaintiff*

Alex L. Holtsford, Jr., Esq.  
Rick A. Howard, Esq.  
Nix, Holtsford, Gilliland,  
Higgins & Hitson, P.C. Z  
Post Office Box 4128  
Montgomery, Alabama 36103-4128  
*Attorneys for Health Services, Inc.  
& Dr. Weaver*

/s/ Kristi A. Dowdy  
KRISTI A. DOWDY